

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/564,303	01/10/2006	Akio Uchiyama	19476	8935	
45307 7590 67/51/20099 SCULLY, SCOTT, MURPHY & PRESSER, P.C. 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			DANEGA, RENEE A		
SUITE 300 GARDEN CIT	Y, NY 11530		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3736		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/31/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/564,303		UCHIYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
Renee Danega		3736	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 23 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

- 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
  - a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
    - The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
    - Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

## **AMENDMENTS**

- 3. 🔯 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
  - (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
    (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
  - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
  - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Claim 40 is dependent from claim 34 which is not allowable in light of the arguments below. (See 37 CFR 1.116
- and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
- 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
  - The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
  - Claim(s) allowed:
  - Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_
  - Claim(s) rejected:
  - Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

## AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
- 13. ☐ Other:

/Max Hindenburg/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to D'Andrea not tacking the ilimitations of claim 34, examiner respectfully disagrees. D'Andrea teaches introducing into a body cavity, in this case the alimentary canal, a plurality of capsules as stated in the cited section of the office action. The capsules will inherently temporarily dwell in a particular area of the early long enough to capture an image and map the route. This is consistent with the broadest reasonate interpretation of introducing and temporarily indwelling. In response to Iddan not teaching a specimen evaluation section, examiner respectfully disagrees and points to paragraph [0047] which describes an interaction chamber into which a specimen is collected or drawn into an indicator chamber and evaluated for containing blood via an indicator which will produce an optical change in the presence of blood [0050]. The examiner reads the indicator as the specimen-evaluation section which exhaustes whether or not blood is present in the sample.